Evaminar Initiated Interview Summi		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	nio m /	10/749,171	TOKARSKI ET AL.
Examiner-Initiated Interview Sumn	ary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Christopher RoDee	1756
All Participants:		Status of Applicatio	n:
(1) <u>Christopher RoDee</u> .		(3)	
(2) <u>Brian Jarrells</u> .		(4)	
Date of Interview: 1 February 2006		Time:	
	☐ Applica	ant's representative)	*
Part I.			,
Rejection(s) discussed: 112, second paragraph.	,		
Claims discussed: 1 and 27			
Prior art documents discussed: None			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TO See Continuation Sheet	HE GENE	RAL NATURE OF WHA	TWAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a directly resulted in the allowance of the applic of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	cation. Th	e examiner will provide a record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
·			
ORD			
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applican	/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted counsel and asked for clarification of claim 27. It was unclear if the linking group is asymmetric or if the entire other portion of the compound on either side of the linking group is constructed so that the compound is asymmetric. Counsel stated that the latter option was intended. The Examiner and Counsel also discussed the previously applied section 112 rejection but no agreements were reached.